

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/084,050 | 02/28/2002 | Nobuhiko Hayashi | 57810-033 | 6460 | | |
| 7590 01/24/2003 | | | | | | |
| McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY | | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096 | | | LE, DUN | G ANH | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 2818 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | Applicat | ion No | T Annii anni (a) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | Action Summary | | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| ▶' | Offic | | 10/084,0 | | HAYASHI ET AL. | | | | |
| | | , conon cummary | Examine | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAII | LING DATE of this communication | DUNG A | | 2818 | | | | |
| Period fe | or Reply | into DATE of and communication | appears on th | e cover sn et with the c | orrespondence addre | !SS | | | |
| THE - External control | MAILING E ensions of time n r SIX (6) MONTH e period for reply O period for reply ure to reply withi reply received b | O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REDATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF HS from the mailing date of this communication, by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and it is specified above, the maximum statutory per in the set or extended period for reply will, by stay the Office later than three months after the manadjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DN. R 1.136(a). In no ev n. a reply within the sta- eriod will apply and w tatute, cause the app | vent, however, may a reply be tim tutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from olication to become ABANDONF | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. & 133) | iunication. | | | |
| 1) 🖂 | Responsi | ive to communication(s) filed on 2 | 26 November | 2002 | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | | | This action is | | | | | | |
| 3) | | ,= | | | raccoution as to the m | - orito io | | | |
| ,— | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| - | ion of Clair | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1-28 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| | | is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| · | 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| · | | is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| | | -11 are subject to restriction and/ | or election red | quirement. | | | | | |
| | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| · | • | cation is objected to by the Exam | _ | late to the best back | | | | | |
| 10)[_] | | g(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac | | • | | | | | |
| 11)[] - | • • | may not request that any objection to | | • | ` ' | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | .S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| | | Igment is made of a claim for fore | eian priority ur | nder 35 I J S C - 8 119(a) | 1-(d) or (f) | | | | |
| • | | Some * c) None of: | sign priority an | 1401 00 0.0.0.3 110(4) | (4) 51 (1). | | | | |
| ۵٫۱ | | ified copies of the priority docume | ents have bee | n received | | | | | |
| | | ified copies of the priority docume | | | on No | | | | |
| | | ies of the certified copies of the p | | | | ne | | | |
| * S | · a | application from the International ched detailed Office action for a li | Bureau (PCT | Rule 17.2(a)). | | 90 | | | |
| 14)[] A | cknowledgi | ment is made of a claim for dome | estic priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(e |) (to a provisional app | plication). | | | |
| | • | nslation of the foreign language រុ ment is made of a claim for dome | - | · · | | | | | |
| Attachment | t(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of Draftspers | es Cited (PTO-892) son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s | | | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15 | | | | |

. •

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-11), drawn to a semiconductor device in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged.

Because Applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed error in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Applicants have the right to file a divisional, continuation or continuation-in-part application covering the subject matter of the non-elected claims 12-28.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application.

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) Species I, e.g. claims 1-6: A nitride-based semiconductor element having a nitride-based semiconductor layer formed on exposed part of underlayer and mask layer while forming a void on recess portion of mask layer, see figures 12-18
- b) Species II, e.g. claims 9-11: A nitride-based semiconductor element having a recess portion on an upper surface of said mask layer, formed on projection portions of

Application/Control Number: 10/084,050

Art Unit: 2818

an underlayer having said projection portions on upper surface on said underlayer to partially expose said upper surface of said underlayer, see figures 20-28

Page 3

- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claims is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 3. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141. If claims are added after the selection, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. 809.02(a). Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103 of the other invention.
- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

Application/Control Number: 10/084,050

Art Unit: 2818

diligently-filled petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. 1.17(h).

Page 4

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is 703-306-5797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-5: 30pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 703-308-4910. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Dung A. Le Examiner

Dle